The role of anisotropy and heterogeneity in Geothermal systems in meta-sedimentary rocks

Yves Vanbrabant
(Geological Survey of Belgium)
Content

Havelange demo-site presentation
What is anisotropy and heterogeneity?
Anisotropy in Geology
Study case 1: thermal conductivity
Study case 2: rock fracturation in metasedimentary formations
Take-away message
Havelange demo-site in the MEET project
Drilled from 1981->1984 near the village of Nettine (Belgium)

Reached a maximum depth of 5648 m (MD)

Aim: gas exploration well targeting hidden Upper Carboniferous coal measures under the main detachment level (Midi Thrust Fault)

Encountered stratigraphy from Upper- to Lower-Devonian formations

Located in the Dinant Synclinorium: a sub-unit of the Ardenne Allochthon part of the Rhenohercynian fold-and-thrust belt (Variscan Orogeny)

Located about 23 km South of the Variscan Front

Geothermal targets: Lower Devonian quartzite units observed ~4.3 -> 5.3 km

Recorded temperature (down hole): 126°C
Havelange available information

Cuttings samples (one sample every 1 to 5 m) + cores at shallow depth (Famennian shales) and at great depth (quartzite)

Logs (paper-format) -> during the MEET project: GR, Dipmeter, Sonic, Caliper were digitized

8 seismic lines were shot in 1978

During the MEET project, additional information were collected:
- Restudy of the mineralogical composition of cutting and core samples;
- Restudy of daily drilling report (mud lost analyses);

In the near-field: main activities were the acquisition of drone image during the drought period of Summer 2018 and sampling of spring water

In the far-field (analogue field): main activities were study of outcrops, collect rock samples for rock mechanical tests and sampling of spring water
Havelange analog field and field works
What is anisotropy?

The definition of Wikipedia: Anisotropy is the property of a material which allows it to change or assume different properties in different directions.

Anisotropy >> Isotropy

For the simple cases: anisotropy in 2D can be defined as a ratio: Param_in_max_direction/Param_in_min_direction (@90°) and for those cases the anisotropy is represented by an ellipse(2D)/ellipsoid (3D).

The isotropy is represented by a circle(2D)/sphere (3D).

In other cases the anisotropy representation is more complex.

Skomski (2008)
Or even has a weird shape ...
Anisotropy vs heterogeneity?

Lynn (2018)
Anisotropy in Geology is present in numerous geological settings, at different scales regarding several properties.

Some examples of anisotropy in rocks: mineral plasticity, elasticity, fabric, sonic, electrical conductivity, thermal conductivity & expansion, magnetism, permeability ...

Anisotropy is also strongly link to a scale: from a single crystal (plasticity, electrical conductivity), to hand-specimen or an outcrop for a field geologist or even at the scale of geological sequences or formations.

The origin of anisotropy in a rock happens at different moment in the Geological cycle: intrinsic or primary anisotropy during sedimentary rock deposit and diagenesis to secondary anisotropy developing during tectonic processes (e.g. cleavage development related to stress)
Is it important to take in account anisotropy during modelling?

Isotropic rheologies
Folding seeds = Variation of single layer thickness

« Anisotropic » rheologies taking into account mechanical anisotropy of the matrix.
Folding result from random variations in lattice orientation

Crenulation cleavage

Ran et al. (2018)

Simple shear

Pure shear
Study case 1: thermal conductivity anisotropy

Reminder:
Heat transfer mechanisms: conduction, convection and radiation

Let’s consider a 1D-approach of Fourier’s Law of Heat Conduction:

\[ q = -k \frac{\Delta T}{l} \quad [\text{W/m}^2] \]

\( q \) is the heat flux

\( k \) is the coefficient of thermal conductivity \([W m^{-1} K^{-1}]\)

\( k \) for rocks ranges from \(~1.2\) (shale) to \(~5\) (dolomite, quartzite)

\( k \) values can be measured in lab or directly in borehole conditions
Thermal Conductivity Measurements @GSB

Thermal Conductivity Scanning – TCS

(Popov et al., 1999, 2012; Hartmann, 2005)
Thermal conductivity anisotropy

Regarding metasedimentary formations:
Laminated rocks conduct heat preferentially parallel to bedding planes
k values measurement are conducted on surface perpendicular to bedding according for at least 2 directions: parallel to the bedding (k_{par}) and perpendicular to the bedding (k_{perp}, usually = k_{min})

We can define the anisotropy as the ratio k_{par}/k_{perp}. According to Davis et al. (2007), anisotropy values range between 0.8 and 2.1.

For a given angle we can derive an apparent thermal conductivity (k_{app})

\[ k_{app} = (k_{par} \sin^2 \gamma + k_{perp} \cos^2 \gamma)^{1/2} \]

(solid line)

Figure 2. Apparent thermal conductivity as a function of probe orientation for samples BC-9701, BC-9702, and BC-9806a. BC-9806a has been offset by a value of 1 Wm^{-1}K^{-1} to differentiate it from the other samples. Bars indicate the standard deviation of six or more measurements during one sample determination.

Measurement \perp to S0
Measurement // to S0
Exercise 1 – Impact of anisotropy of q

If we consider the Fourier’s Law in 1D applied on the measurements conducted by Davis on sample BC-9701.

What is the computed $k_{\text{app}}$ if the probe measurement orientation angle 40°?

What is the impact on q-value if the heat flux ($Y$) occurs perpendicular to the rock fabric with respect to the flux occurring // to this fabric?

Hints: you can consider for instance a difference of 3° between the hot and cold faces of the slab and its thickness is 100 m
Exercise 1 – Impact of anistropy of q

If we consider the Fourier’s Law in 1D applied on the measurements conducted by Davis on sample BC-9701.

What is the computed $k_{app}$ if the probe measurement orientation angle 40°?

$k_{app} = 3.67 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$

What is the impact on q-value if the heat flux (Y) occurs perpendicular to the rock fabric with respect to the flux occurring // to this fabric?

Hints: you can consider for instance a difference of 3° between the hot and cold faces of the slab and its thickness is 100 m

$$q = -k \frac{\Delta T}{l} \quad \text{[W/m}^2\text{]}$$
Exercise 1 – Impact of anisotropy of q

If we consider the Fourier’s Law in 1D applied on the measurements conducted by Davis on sample BC-9701.

What is the computed $k_{app}$ if the probe measurement orientation angle 40°?

$k_{app} = 3.67 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$

What is the impact on q-value if the heat flux (Y) occurs perpendicular to the rock fabric with respect to the flux occurring // to this fabric?

Hints: you may consider for instance a difference of 3° between the hot and cold faces of the slab and its thickness is 100 m

$q// = 4.45 \times 0.03 = 0.134 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$
$q \perp = 3.00 \times 0.03 = 0.090 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$

Reduction of ~33% of q
Additional remarks/thoughts

• The impact of thermal conductivity anisotropy is significant mainly for strongly laminated rocks (e.g. shale, slate). For non-fracturate granite: thermal conductivity -> isotropy

• For highly anisotropic materials it would be better to provide 2 k-values: one parallel to the bedding and one perpendicular

• The presented approach here is oversimplified (1D) and we have consider only the heat transfer of conduction, other factors or processes should be taken into account are: the convection -> permeability anisotropic, but also the heat generation
Study case 2: Rock fracturation in cases of heterogenous sequences

Presented cases come from the Belgian Ardenne

Lithologies: sandstone, quartzite and shale/slate layers

Rock sequences underwent first an extensional period during the metamorphism peak (basin in mature state) followed by a shortening period during the Variscan orogeny (330-300 Ma)

During the extensional period, rock formations underwent fracturation with the development of quartz veins.

Metamorphism grade: green-schist facies
Fractures in a massive sandstone unit
Fractures in a sandstone-dominant unit
Fractures in a shale unit
It’s a convenient and geometrical approach to represent the stress state.

It links the normal stress ($\sigma$) to the shear stress ($\tau$).

The failure envelope defines the differential stress ($\sigma_1 - \sigma_3$) required for a failure to occur.

The newly-formed fracture develop at an angle $\theta$ with respect to $\sigma_1$ orientation.

There are 2 main domains: tensile and compressive.

Tensile stress conditions can occur in rocks due to high fluid pressure.
Exercise 2 – Classify the fractures according to their Morh’s circle

Circle-A

Circle-B

Circle-C
Exercise 2 – Classify the fractures according to their Morh’s circle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circle-A</th>
<th>Circle-B</th>
<th>Circle-C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rheological contrast
Interlayer of shale and sandstone layers from the Mardasson Quarry (Bastogne, Belgium)
‘There seems to be one elephant left in the room that is still commonly overlooked or ignored in these numerical models: anisotropy.’ (Ran et al., 2018)
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